## Niles-Buchanan-Cass Area Transportation Study 2026-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Project Application

If you need assistance, please contact Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Transportation Planner Email <a href="mailto:kovnatb@swmpc.org">kovnatb@swmpc.org</a> or call (269) 925-1137 x 1524

Applicant Information	
Agency Name:	
Contact Name:	Title:
Email Address:	Phone Number:
Engineer/Consultant:	Company:
Email Address:	Phone Number:
Project Description	
Project Name/Road Name:	
Project Limits (From/To):	
Project Length (to the nearest hundredth of a mile): miles	
City, Village, or Township:	
Additional location description if needed	
Major Work Type:	Preferred Year of Funding:
<b>Detailed Work Description</b> (Include all work items as part of this replacement, guardrail, tree clearing, grading, culvert replacement	•
Describe any non-participating work if applicable	
What is the need and purpose for this project (what issues are be	eing addressed by the proposed work)
If you are submitting multiple applications, please rank your appl	lications by priority. Rank: of

Pro	pos	sed	Buc	lget
-----	-----	-----	-----	------

	Amount	Percent of Total
Total Participating Construction Estimate	\$	100 %
STBG Requested	\$	%
Local Match	\$	%
	\$	%
	\$	%

Are the other funding sources secured? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, provide details of	n when these funds will be secured
Non-Participating Cost Estimate:	\$
Total Project Estimate with Non-Participating:	\$
Are you willing to contribute additional local match above the minimum 18.159	6 required: Yes $\square$ No $\square$
Are you willing to use an Advance Construct (AC):	Yes □ No □
If so, what is the maximum Amount:	\$
Estimated Project Schedule	
Activity	Date (Month/Year)
NEPA/SHPPO Submitted	
Right-of-Way Certification Submitted	
Grade Inspection (GI) Completed	
Full Biddable Package Submitted to MDOT	
Project Letting	
Construction Start	
Project Completion	
System Preservation	
What is the most recent PASER rating (https://www.mcgi.state.mi.us/tamcMap	<u></u>
Do the project limits begin or end at a road with a PASER of 7 or higher:	Yes □ No □
Which MDOT guidelines will the project use:	
What is the expected increase in Remaining Service Life (RSL):	Years
What is the current state of drainage on the road:	

Regional Significance				
What is the average annual daily traffic (AADT	) volume for the limits of this project?	Vehicles/day		
What is the National Functional Classification (NFC) of the road:				
Safaty				
Safety				
For the questions below use the five-year total	als from 2019-2023 (https://www.michigantraf	ficcrashfacts.org/)		
All Crashes	Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash	<u>nes</u>		
Total number of crashes:	Total number of crashes:			
Number of fatalities:	Number of fatalities:			
Number of Serious Injuries:	Number of Serious Injuries:			
List the safety countermeasures included in th	ne project			
Use the attached list of countermeasures and	l associated crash types	Describerations for		
Counter Measure	Crash Type Addressed	Does this address a fatal or serious injury crash		
Improved pavement markings	Angle, Rear-End Crashes	Yes □ No ⊠		
		Yes □ No □		
		Yes □ No □		
		Yes □ No □		
		Yes □ No □		
		Yes □ No □		
		Yes □ No □		
Campleta Streets				
Complete Streets				
Are there existing pedestrian and/or bicycle fa	acilities within the limits of the project? If so, p	lease explain		
Describe any improvements to pedestrian and	d/or bicycle facilities included with the project			
	,			
Will the new/improved pedestrian and/or bic		cycle facility Yes ☐ No ☐		
or one that is planned to be completed before	2 ZUZ9: Y/N			
Does your agency have a policy for maintaining non-motorized transportation infrastructure, such as Yes $\square$ No $\square$ bike lanes and pedestrian pathways/sidewalks?				

Accessibility and Equity				
Is the project located in a Disadvantaged Community (DAC), as identified by the Climate and Environmental Justice Screening Tool (https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/):			Yes □	No □
Does this project remove a priority ADA barrier, as identified in an adopted ADA Transition Plasimilar plan?	ın or		Yes 🗆	No □
Strategic Planning & Investment				
The project crosses jurisdictional boundaries.		,	Yes □	No 🗆
The project will coordinate with other infrastructure projects (i.e. utility, water, sewer, etc.)		,	Yes □	No 🗆
The Project is identified in a pavement asset management plan		,	Yes □	No 🗆
There is an asset management plan covering utilities along the length of the project			Yes □	No 🗆
The city/village/Township has adopted an asset management policy			Yes □	No 🗆
The project supports goals or objectives from another planning document (ex. master plan or	rec pla	n)	Yes □	No 🗆
If the project supports goals or objectives in another planning document please identify the pgoals or objectives, and describe how this project will help achieve them	lan, spe	ecify the	e releva	nt
Risk Assessment				
Does right of way need to be acquired?	Yes $\square$	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Does the project intersect with a railroad crossing?	Yes $\square$	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Does the project require utility relocation?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Are the project limits within a defined FEMA floodplain?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Will there be trees removed within the project limits?	Yes $\square$	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Is the project within 100 feet of a cemetery?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Are there historic elements withing 100 feet of the proposed work*	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Describe approximately how many individual mature trees or acres of trees will be re-	noved	if appl	icable	

<sup>\*</sup> Historic elements include any of the following if they are 50 years old or older: **objects** (ex. Statues or monuments), **structures** (ex. bridges, stone curbs, or brick streets), intentional/designed landscapes, **buildings**, **Historic districts**, **intentional/designed landscapes** 

### **Existing and Proposed Roadway Design**

					7 6				
	Existing				Proposed				
Number of	Through	Center Tui	'n	On Street Parking		Through	Center Tur	'n	On Street Parking
lanes	Lanes:	Lane (Y/N)	):	(Y/N):		Lanes:	Lane (Y/N)	:	(Y/N):
Shoulder	□ Paved ⊠	Unpaved	Widt	h: Ft.		□ Paved □	Unpaved	Widt	h: Ft.
Sidewalk/ path	Placement		Widt	h: Ft.		Placement		Widt	h: Ft.
On road	o Bike Lane	S	o 0	ther (Specify)		o Bike Lane	S	0 0	ther (Specify)
bicycle	o Sharrows					<ul><li>Sharrows</li></ul>			
facilities	o Wide Sho	ulders	0 N	one		o Wide Sho	ulders	0 N	one
	T Litilita : NA/ania in no ania di			☐ Replaceme	ent of utilitie	es			
Utilities Utility Work is needed		۵.		☐ Relocation of utilities					
	⊔ water/sew	Water/Sewer Work is needed		☐ Sewer and/or water line work			rk		

## **Applicant Acknowledgements**

By signing below, the project sponsor ensures that they have read and understood the appropriate federal guidance and agree to follow all applicable federal regulations and requirements from the acceptance of federal funds, should this project receive an award. In addition, the project sponsor acknowledges the potential loss of federal funds if the project is not obligated within the programmed fiscal year or if Michigan Department of Transportation statewide obligation limitations have been met.

## **Certification of Matching Funds**

By signing below, the Project Sponsor assures that sufficient funds are available to pay any costs above the awarded federal fund amount and that completion of this project is not contingent upon additional grants (the sources of matching funds may be changed after STBG funding has been awarded, in accordance with all established TIP amendment guidelines).

Name:	Title:

# **SEGMENT** CRASH REDUCTION FACTORS

Proposed Improvement	% Reduction	Associated Crash Types
•	ric Safety Enhan	,
	80%	Rear-End Left-Turn
	50%	Head-On Left-Turn
Center Left-Turn Lane - Construct	20%	Head-On, Angle, Sideswipe*
	15%	Non Left-Turn Rear-End, Other*
	65%	Rear-End Right-Turn
	30%	Angle
Right-Turn Lane - Construct	15%	Rear-End
	10%	Other*
Horizontal Curve Flattening	30%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 1' each side)	5%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 2' each side)	10%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 3' each side)	15%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 4' each side)	20%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 5' each side)	25%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 6' each side)	30%	Lane Departure***
Shoulders - Widen to Standard Width (add 7' each side)	35%	Lane Departure***
Vertical Curve Modification	20%	All Applicable Crash Types +++
Superelevation Correction	20%	Lane Departure***
•	Segment Enhan	
Access Management - Improve	15%	Drive-way Related Applicable Crashes
	44%	K and A injury Applicable Crashes
	46%	Single Vehicle Run off Road Left Crashes
Centerline Rumble Strips - Install	43%	Sideswipe Same Crashes
	55%	Sideswipe Opposite Crashes
High Frieding Confees Towards Assets	35%	Wet Crashes
High Friction Surface Treatment - Install	20%	All Other Applicable Crashes
Recessed Durable Pavement Markings	5%	All Applicable Crashes
Bood Biot /4 21 and Commercian \ Install	50%	Suburban - All Applicable Crashes
Road Diet (4-3 Lane Conversion) - Install	30%	Urban - All Applicable Crashes
Shoulder Rumble Strips	20%	Run-Off the Road Right Crashes
Signing/Delineation on Horizontal Curves (Including	200/	Lana Danartura***
Recessed Durable Pavement Markings) - Install	20%	Lane Departure***
Install Edgelines - Where none currently exist	15%	Lane Departure*** (CMF Clearing House ID 10243)
HMA Safety Edge Improvement		
	13%	All non-intersection crashes
Road	13% dside Enhancem	
Road Fixed Objects From Clearzone (Trees, Culverts, Etc.) -	dside Enhancem	nents
Fixed Objects From Clearzone (Trees, Culverts, Etc.) - Removal	dside Enhancem	nents
Fixed Objects From Clearzone (Trees, Culverts, Etc.) -	dside Enhancem 75%	Fixed-Object Applicable Crashes
Fixed Objects From Clearzone (Trees, Culverts, Etc.) - Removal	dside Enhancem 75% 55%	Fixed-Object Applicable Crashes  Lane Departure *** Fatalities and "A" Injury Crashes
Fixed Objects From Clearzone (Trees, Culverts, Etc.) - Removal  Guardrail - Install	75% 55% 7%	Fixed-Object Applicable Crashes  Lane Departure *** Fatalities and "A" Injury Crashes  Lane Departure *** B/C/O Applicable Crashes

## **INTERSECTION CRASH REDUCTION FACTORS**

Proposed Improvement	% Reduction	Associated Crash Types
Signal Timin	g / Hardware En	hancements
Install Reflectorized Backplates	15%	All Applicable Crashes
Add All-Red Clearance Interval - Add per ITE	20%	Head-On Left-Turn, Angle
Yellow-Change Interval - Increase	10%	All Crash Types
	65%	Angle
	-25%	Rear-End (Increases Crashes)
Box Span Signal - Upgrade from Stop Control	20%	All Other Non Rear-End Crashes
Box Span Signal - Upgrade from Diagonal Span	10%	All Applicable Crashes+
Protected Left-Turn Signal Phase - Add	30%	Left-Turn
Signal Head Size - Increase to 12 "	10%	All Applicable Crashes +
Signal Optimization & Timing Updates	10%	All Applicable Crashes +
Removing Night Flash from Signal Timing	50%	Nighttime Flash mode Related Crashes
	n Geometric Enl	_
	80%	Rear-End Left-Turn
	50%	Head-On Left-Turn
Center Left-Turn Lane - Construct	20%	Head-On, Angle, Other
	15%	Non Left-Turn Rear-End
	30%	Angle
Intersection Improvements (Realignment, Sight-	15%	Rear-End
Distance Improvements, Radii Improvements, Etc.)	10%	Head-On, Sideswipe, Pedestrian, Bicycle, Left-Turn Related
Offset Left-Turn Lane - Construct	65%	Angle-Turn, Head-On Left-Turn
Offset Left-Turif Lane - Construct	20%	Rear-End Left-Turn
	65%	Angle-Turn
Offset Right-Turn Lane - Construct	50%	Other Applicable Crashes
	20%	Rear-End Right Turn
Right-Turn Lane - Construct	65%	Rear-End Right-Turn
- Ingrit rum zume Gombinati	20%	Applicable Rear-End Crashes, Sideswipe Same Direction
Roundabout	78%	Fatal and A-Injury Reduction
	57%	Minor Crash Reduction
		n-Signalized Intersections)
All-Way Stop Control - New Installation	60%	All Applicable Crashes
Ground Mounted Flashing Beacons (Red)- Install **	30%	All Crashes On Install Approach
Ground Mounted Flashing Beacons(Amber) - Install **	20%	All Crashes On Install Approach
Signing - Improve/Upgrade	30%	Angle, Rear-End Crashes
Pavement Markings - Improve/Upgrade	30%	Angle, Rear-End Crashes
Reflective Sheeting on Sign Posts (Iollipops)	15%	All Applicable Crashes

### **NON-MOTORIZED** CRASH REDUCTION FACTORS

Proposed Improvement	% Reduction	Associated Crash Types			
Pedestrian / Bicycle Enhancements					
Pedestrian Refuge Island - Install	50%	Pedestrian Crashes (Review NCHRP Report 841)			
Bump Out / Curb Extension - Remove Parking / Install	30%	All Crashes			
Bicycle Lanes - Intersections, Install per standards	25%	Bicycle Crashes			
Bicycle Lanes - Segments, Install per standards	50%	Bicycle Crashes			
Shared Use Path - Install	33%	Bicycle and Pedestrian Related Crashes			
Sidewalk for Pedestrians - Construct	85%	Pedestrian Crashes			
	75%	Pedestrian Fatal - Dark Unlighted Crashes			
Intersection Lighting - install	40%	Pedestrian A-Injury - Dark Unlighted Crashes			
	30%	All Applicable Dark Unlighted Crashes			
Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons (HAWK Signals) - Install	55%	Pedestrian Crashes (CMF ID 9020)			
Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons	47%	Pedestrian Crashes			
Ped. Countdown Signals - Install new Pedestrian signal	30%	Pedestrian Crashes			
<b>Ped. Countdown Signals</b> - Upgrade from existing Pedestrian signal	25%	Pedestrian Crashes			

#### Notes:

- \* "Other" includes other crash which might be mitigated by the addition of a right-turn lane in the judgment of the crash analyst
- \*\* applies to new installation or with removal of existing overhead flashing beacon
- \*\*\* "Lane departure" crashes include the following types: Fixed Object, Overturn, Sideswipe Opposite, Sideswipe Same and Head-On (Run off Road Right/Left Crashes)
- + All Applicable Crash Rear End, Angle Crashes, Sideswipe Same. The Crashes should occur at The signal that is being upgraded. Does not include driveway and anima
- +++ All Applicable Crash Types Lane Departure, Fixed Object, Angle Crashes, Sideswipe Oppisite, Sideswipe Same. The crashes should occur on or near a vertical curve

### **REFERENCES:**

The references listed below are the sources recognized by MDOT for obtaining crash reduction factors.

- MDOT Safety Programs Unit Crash Reduction Factors (As recommended by K. Kunde. P.E.); October, 1986
- 2) Selection Process for Local High Safety Projects, Transportation Research Record 847: 1982
- 3) UKTRP 85-6, University of Kentucky; March, 1985
- 4) Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factor, Federal Highway Administration. 2007
- 5) NCHRP Report 617: Accident Modification Factors for Traffic Engineering and ITS Improvements , TRB 2008
- 6) Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse, http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/index.cfm , 2009
- 7) Safety Edge https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/hsis/11025/11025.pdf
- 8) Removing Night Flash https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/hsis/13069/index.cfm
- 9) RRFBs CMF Clearinghouse ID 9024